# **Bail Application No. 1129 of 2017**

In The Matter Of:-

Jaswant Singh ......Petitioner

VERSUS

The State ..... Respondent

[In the Case arising out of the FIR No: 0040 of 2015 (Dated: 14/01/2015), PS: Kalyanpuri, U/S: 186/308/332/353/34 of IPC, District-East Delhi, currently pending in the Court of Shri N K Laka, CMM, Karkardooma Court, New Delhi]

#### **INDEX**

Srl. No.	Particulars	Page No.
1	Court Fees (Total)	
2	Notice of Motion	
3	Urgent Application	
4	Certificate to the effect that the documents filed with this Petition are part of Trial Court record.	
5	Brief synopsis & List of Dates and Events	
6	Memo Of Parties	
7	Petition for grant of Bail, U/S-439 R/W S- 482 of the Code Of Criminal Procedure Code, 1973.	
8	Annexure -P-1 (Colly) Copy of the FIR, along with English translation.	
9	Annexure -P-2. Copy of the Order Dated 29.05.2017, passed by Shri Pulatsya Paramachala, ASJ, Karkardooma Courts, Delhi, On The First bail Application of the Petitioner.	

10	Annexure- P- 3. Copy of the Order Dated 01.06.2017, passed by Ms. Ravinder Bedi, ASJ, Karkardooma Courts, Delhi, On The Second bail Application of the Petitioner.	
11.	Annexure -P-2. Copy of the Order Dated 02.06.2017, passed by Shri Pulatsya Paramachala, ASJ, Karkardooma Courts, Delhi, On The Third bail Application of the Petitioner.	
12	Annexure- P- 4. Copy of the Order dated 31.05.2017, passed by Hon'ble Mr. Justice A K Chawla, in the Bail Application of the Co-Accused in the Bail Appln. No.1083 of 2017, titled as Rajender Singh Versus The State of NCT of Delhi.	
13	Application seeking exemption from filing certified copies of the documents/ Annexures, along with Affidavit.	
14	Vakalatnama	

Certified that no Petition of this nature is pending before this Hon'ble Court or any other Court including the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India.

**Petitioner** 

Through

Sushil Kr. Sharma & Shahar Alam Beg, Advocates, (Counsel For The Petitioner) 877, Lawyers' Chambers, Saket Courts, New Delhi- 110017 E-mail-hellocounsel@gmail.com

Mob. No.:-9818165785

# In The High Court Of Delhi At New Delhi Bail Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 2017 In The Matter Of:-**Jaswant Singh** .....Petitioner VERSUS The State ..... Respondent **Notice Of Motion** To, The Standing Counsel, Delhi Police. Delhi High Court Lawyers Chambers, Sher Shah Suri Road, New Delhi. Dear Sir,

Kindly find attached the copy of the **Bail Application**, which is most likely to be listed before the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi on

This is for your kind notice and necessary action.

**Petitioner** 

THROUGH

## Sushil Kr. Sharma & Shahar Alam Beg,

Advocates, (Counsel For The Petitioner) 877, Lawyers' Chambers, Saket Courts, New Delhi- 110017 E-mail-hellocounsel@gmail.com

Mob. No.:-9818165785

Bail Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 2017

In The Matter Of:-

Jaswant Singh ......Petitioner

VERSUS

The State ..... Respondent

To, **The Registrar,**High Court of Delhi.
Sher Shah Suri Road,
New Delhi.

### **Urgent Application**

Sir,

Would you kindly treat the accompanying Revision as an urgent matter in terms of Delhi High Court Rules? The urgency is that:

The Petitioner has sought **Bail U/S- 439 R/W S- 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure Code**, in the Case arising out of the FIR No: 0040 of 2015 (Dated: 14/01/2015), PS: Kalyanpuri, U/S: 186/308/332/353/34 of IPC, District-East, currently pending in the Court of Shri N K Laka, CMM, Karkardooma Court, New Delhi.

It is prayed accordingly.

**Petitioner** 

Through

Sushil Kr. Sharma & Shahar Alam Beg, Advocates, (Counsel For The Petitioner) 877, Lawyers' Chambers, Saket Courts, New Delhi- 110017 E-mail-hellocounsel@gmail.com

Mob. No.:-9818165785

Bail Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 2017

In The Matter Of:-

Jaswant Singh ......Petitioner

VERSUS

The State ..... Respondent

CERTIFICATE

To, **The Registrar,**High Court of Delhi.

Sher Shah Suri Road, New Delhi.

Sir,

This is to certify that entire material/ document/ annexures, relied upon and placed on the record are the part of the trial court record and nothing additional has been filed.

**Petitioner** 

Through

Sushil Kr. Sharma & Shahar Alam Beg, Advocates, (Counsel For The Petitioner) 877, Lawyers' Chambers, Saket Courts, New Delhi- 110017 E-mail-hellocounsel@gmail.com

Mob. No.:-9818165785

#### **BRIEF SYNOPSIS & LIST OF DATES & EVENTS**

The Petitioner/ Accused was apprehended/ arrested in this Case on 25.05.2017. The Case arises out of the FIR No: 0040 of 2015 (Dated: 14/01/2015), PS: Kalyanpuri, U/S: 186/308/332/353/34 OF IPC, District-East. The Charge Sheet has been filed by the Police in this Case, and the case is currently pending adjudication in the Court of Shri N K Laka, CMM, Karkardooma Court, New Delhi, the same being at the stage of scrutiny of documents. The list of dates and events forming part of this case are as follows:

#### LIST OF DATES & EVENTS

14.01.2015

An FIR was registered against the Petitioner/ Accused and his whole family. Five family members were named in the FIR itself, namely, (1) Jaswant Singh [(43 years) (Petitioner/ Accused himself)], (2) Rahul [(34 years) (Brother of the Petitioner/ Accused)], (3) Rajender Singh [(38 years) (Brother of the Petitioner/ Accused)], (4) Mahendra Kaur (W/O Ashoki) [(52 years) (Sister of the Petitioner/ Accused)] and (5) Bhag Singh [(75 years) (Father of the Petitioner/ Accused)]. Two neighbors of the Petitioner/ Accused have also

been implicated in this case, namely, (1) Sunny and (2) Arjun.

The particulars of the FIR are as follows: FIR No: 0040 of 2015 (Dated: 14/01/2015), PS: Kalyanpuri, U/S: 186/308/332/353/34 OF IPC, District-East.

25.05.2017 The Applicant/ Accused was arrested by the Police on 25.05.2017. His Police Custody (PC) was however not sought by the Police.

26.05.2017 The Applicant/ Accused is in the judicial custody (JC) since 26.05.2017.

29.05.2017 The Petitioner/ Accused filed his first bail The Sh. Application. Court of Pulatsva Paramachala, ASJ, Karkardooma Courts, Delhi, disposed off the First Bail Application of the Petitioner/ Accused vide Order dated 29.05.2017 by observing that since the main charge sheet is pending adjudication before Ms. Ravinder Bedi, ASJ, therefore the Application/ Accused should move the Trial Court/ Sessions Court for regular Bail.

31.05.2017 In this Case, this Hon'ble Court has been pleased to grant Bail to the co-Accused,

Rajender Singh vide its Order dated 31.05.2017, as passed by Hon'ble Mr. Justice A K Chawla, in the Bail Application No.1083 of 2017, titled as Rajender Singh Versus The State of NCT of Delhi.

01.06.2017

The Petitioner/ Accused filed his Second bail Application. The Court of Ms. Ravinder Bedi, ASJ, Karkardooma Courts, Delhi expressed her inability to hear the Bail Plea of the Petitioner/ Applicant in the absence of the Charge sheet qua the Petitioner/ Accused. The Ld. ASJ disposed off the Second Bail Application of the Petitioner/ Accused observing that the Case qua the Petitioner/ Accused was not yet allocated to her Court.

02.06.2017

The Petitioner/ Accused filed his Third bail The Sh. Application. Court of Pulatsya Paramachala, ASJ, Karkardooma Courts, Delhi disposed off the Third Bail Application vide its Order dated 02.06.2017, reiterating its observations, as made in the order dated 29.05.2017.

09.06.2017

The matter before the trial Court (Chief Metropolitan Magistrate (CMM) is at the stage of scrutiny of documents and the same is being listed for 09.06.2017 for the said purpose. The matter is likely to take its own time before it was actually committed for trial to the Court of Additional Sessions Judge (ASJ).

05.06.2017 Hend

Hence the present Revision.

Bail Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 2017

In The Matter Of:-

Jaswant Singh ......Petitioner

VERSUS

The State ..... Respondent

#### **Memo of Parties**

[In the Case arising out of the FIR No: 0040 of 2015 (Dated: 14/01/2015), PS: Kalyanpuri, U/S: 186/308/332/353/34 of IPC, District-East, currently pending in the Court of Shri N K Laka, CMM, Karkardooma Court, New Delhi]

Petitioner:-

**Mr. Jaswant Singh** [Aged Nearly 47 years]

S/o Shri Bhag Singh R/o House No. 20/80, Kalyanpuri, Delhi- 110091

And

Respondent:-

**The State** 

Through
The SHO,
PS-Kalvant

PS-Kalyanpuri (District- East)

New Delhi

**Petitioner** 

Through

**Sushil Kr. Sharma & Shahar Alam Beg**, Advocates, (Counsel For The Petitioner)

Bail Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 2017

In The Matter Of:-

**Jaswant Singh** ......Petitioner

VERSUS

The State ..... Respondent

District- East Delhi

PETITION, UNDER SECTION-439, READ WITH SECTION-482 OF THE CODE OF CRIMINAL PROCEDURE, 1973, FOR GRANT OF BAIL, IN THE CASE ARISING OUT OF THE FIR NO: 0040 OF 2015 14/01/2015), (DATED: PS: KALYANPURI, U/S: DELHI, 186/308/332/353/34 OF IPC, DISTRICT-**EAST** CURRENTLY PENDING ADJUDICTION IN THE COURT OF SHRI N. K. LAKA, CMM, KARKARDOOMA COURT, NEW DELHI.

TO,

#### THE CHIEF JUSTICE

AND HIS COMPANION JUSTICES OF HON'BLE HIGH COURT OF DELHI, AT NEW DELHI

THE PETITIONER ABOVENAMED MOST RESPECTFULLY SHEWETH:

1. That the present Petition has been filed seeking bail in the Case arising out of the FIR No: 0040 of 2015 (Dated: 14/01/2015), PS: Kalyanpuri, U/S: 186/308/332/353/34 OF IPC, District-East Delhi, currently pending in the Court of Shri N. K. Laka, CMM, Karkardooma Court, New Delhi. The copy of the FIR, along with its English translation is annexed herewith as **ANNEXURE-P-1 (Colly.)**.

- 2. That the brief facts of the case leading to the filing of this Petition are as follows:
- 3. That the Applicant/ Accused is a law abiding Citizen. He however, has been falsely implicated by the Police by lodging against him completely vexatious, false, frivolous and baseless FIR.
- 4. That the FIR in question was registered against the Petitioner/ Accused and his whole family. Five family members were named in the FIR itself, namely, (1) Jaswant Singh [(43 years) (Petitioner/ Accused himself)], (2) Rahul [(34 years) (Brother of the Petitioner/ Accused)], (3) Rajender Singh [(38 years) (Brother of the Petitioner/ Accused)], (4) Mahendra Kaur (W/O Ashoki) [(52 years) (Sister of the Petitioner/ Accused)] and (5) Bhag Singh [(75 years) (Father of the Petitioner/ Accused)]. Two neighbors of the Petitioner/ Accused have also been implicated in this case, namely, (1) Sunny and (2) Arjun.
- 5. That the Police did not seek the arrest of the Petitioner/
  Accused at any point in time, ever since the date of the
  registration of the FIR and till the date he was actually
  arrested on 25.05.2017. The fact remains, the house of the
  Petitioner/ Accused is at stone's throw distance from the
  Police Station- Kalyanpuri where the FIR stands lodged and

the Petitioner/ Accused earns his living by driving an Auto in the same locality where the Police Station- Kalyanpuri is situated.

- 6. That it would be relevant to mention here in that the Petitioner/ Accused had his Auto employed in the Election duty in the recently concluded elections for the Municipal Corporation in the same area where the Police Station-Kalyanpuri is situated.
- 7. That the Police showed in its record the Petitioner/ Accused an absconder, and procured the necessary Orders under Sections-82/83 of the Code of Criminal procedure, 1973, just with malafide intentions and ulterior motives.
- 8. That the Applicant/Accused was arrested by the Police on 25.05.2017 and he is in the judicial custody since 26.05.2017. It would be relevant to mention herein that no police custody (PC) was sought of the Petitioner/ Accused by the Police in this case.
- 9. That on 28.05.2017, the Petitioner/ Applicant moved First Bail Application, U/S- 439 Of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973, to the District & Sessions Judge, Karkardooma, Delhi, which was assigned in due course to the Court of Sh. Pulatsya Paramachala, ASJ, Karkardooma Courts, Delhi.

After hearing, the Ld. ASJ however disposed off the bail Application vide Order dated 29.05.2017 by observing that since the main charge sheet is pending adjudication before Ms. Ravinder Bedi, ASJ, therefore the Application/ Accused should move the Trial Court/ Sessions Court for regular Bail. The copy of the Order dated 29.05.2017 is annexed herewith as **ANNEXURE-P-2 (Colly.)**.

- 10. That consequently, the Petitioner/ Accused moved the Second Bail Application, U/S- 439 Of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973, before the Court of Ms. Ravinder Bedi, ASJ, Karkardooma Courts, Delhi. However, it transpired before the Trail Court that the supplementary Charge Sheet qua the Petitioner/ Accused has been filed before the Chief Metropolitan Magistrate (CMM), Karkardooma, Delhi and the matter will next come up for hearing before the Ld. CMM for the scrutiny of documents on 09.06.2017.
- 11. That in its Order dated 01.06.2017, the Court of Ms. Ravinder Bedi, ASJ, Karkardooma Courts, Delhi, while observing that the Case qua the Petitioner/ Accused was not yet allocated to her Court, expressed her inability to hear the Bail Plea of the Petitioner/ Applicant in the absence of the Charge sheet qua the Petitioner/ Accused. Resultantly, fearing further delay in the matter, the Counsel for the Petitioner/ Accused opted to

withdraw the Bail Application so as to file the same before the Court of District & Sessions Judge again, which is the only Court of competent jurisdiction. The copy of the Order dated 01.06.2017 is annexed herewith as **ANNEXURE-P-3**.

- 12. That on 01.06.2017, the Petitioner/ Accused moved the Third Bail Application to the District & Sessions Judge, Karkardooma, Delhi, which was again assigned to the Court of Sh. Pulatsya Paramachala, ASJ, Karkardooma Courts, Delhi. After hearing, the Court however disposed off the bail Application vide its Order dated 02.06.2017, reiterating its observations, as made in the order dated 29.05.2017. The copy of the Order dated 02.06.2017 is annexed herewith as ANNEXURE-P-3.
- 13. That in the meanwhile in the same Case, this Hon'ble Court has been pleased to grant Bail to the co-Accused, Rajender Singh vide its Order dated 31.05.2017, as passed by Hon'ble Mr. Justice A K Chawla, in the Bail Application No.1083 of 2017, titled as Rajender Singh Versus The State of NCT of Delhi. The copy of the Order dated 31.05.2017 is annexed herewith as ANNEXURE-P-4.
- 14. That the fact remains, the matter before the trial Court (Chief Metropolitan Magistrate (CMM) is at the stage of scrutiny of documents and the same is being listed for 09.06.2017 for

- the said purpose. The matter is likely to take its own time before it was actually committed for trial to the Court of Additional Sessions Judge (ASJ).
- 15. That the investigation in this case is complete, as the Charge Sheet has already been filed in this matter. Currently the matter is at the stage of scrutiny of documents and the same is listed for the same on 09.06.2017.
- 16. That there is no question of tampering with the evidence in this case, as the witnesses and the victim in this case are the Police personnel themselves. Besides, the Accused/Applicant undertakes not to contact the said Victims/Complainant in this Case.
- 17. That the Applicant/ Accused is a permanent resident of Delhi. He has his family residing permanently in Delhi and has deep roots in the society. There is no question of he fleeing from justice or absconding by any stretch of the imagination.
- 18. That the antecedents of the Petitioner are clean. He belongs to a respectable family. Prior to this, he has never been convicted in any Case.
- 19. That on the top of it all, the Applicant/ Accused is the sole bread earner of his family and earns his living on daily basis by driving an auto.

- 20. That the Trial of the case would take a long time and keeping the Applicant/Accused in Judicial Custody (JC) would not serve any fruitful purpose. On the contrary, his whole family will come on the road if his incarceration prolongs, he being the sole bread earner of his family.
- 21. That the Petitioner undertakes to attend the proceedings before the concerned Court regularly, as and when called upon to do so, as also join further investigation, if any.
- 22. That the Accused / Applicant further undertakers to abide by all the conditions which this Hon'ble court pleases to impose to enlarge him on bail.
- 23. That the Petitioner has sought Bail on the following grounds amongst others:

#### **GROUNDS**

- A. BECAUSE the Petitioner/ Accused is behind the bars since 25.05.2017, for nearly 12 days.
- B. BECAUSE the Case of the Police is highly improbable by its very nature, as the FIR in question was registered against the Petitioner/ Accused, his whole family and the neighbors.

- C. BECAUSE the Police did not seek the arrest of the Petitioner/ Accused at any point in time since the date of the registration of the FIR and till the date he was actually arrested on 25.05.2017 with malafide intentions and ulterior motives.
- D. BECAUSE the Court below, i.e. the Court of Sh.

  Pulatsya Paramachala, ASJ, Karkardooma Courts,

  Delhi gravely erred while directing the Petitioner/

  Accused to move for bail the Trial Court/ Sessions

  Court.
- E. BECAUSE the Ld. ASJ (Sh. Pulatsya Paramachala, ASJ, Karkardooma Courts, Delhi) disregarded the observations, as made in the order dated 01.06.2017, as passed by Ms. Ravinder Bedi, ASJ, wherein she had unambiguously noted that the Case/ Charge sheet of the Petitioner/ Accused was not allocated to her.
- F. BECAUSE the Ld. ASJ (Sh. Pulatsya Paramachala,
  ASJ, Karkardooma Courts, Delhi) further overlooked
  the submission of the Counsel of the Petitioner/
  Accused wherein he had highlighted the fact that
  the matter/ Case of the Petitioner/ Accused was

listed before the concerned Court of Chief Metropolitan Magistrate (CMM) on 09.06.2017 for scrutiny of documents/ chargesheet.

- G. BECAUSE the Court below, i.e. the Court of Sh.

  Pulatsya Paramachala, ASJ, Karkardooma Courts,

  Delhi has refused to exercise its jurisdiction, while

  refusing to hear the Bail Plea of Petitioner/ Accused

  on the merit of the Case.
- H. BECAUSE the Court below, i.e. the Court of Sh. Pulatsya Paramachala, ASJ, Karkardooma Courts, Delhi has exceeded its jurisdiction, while directing the Petitioner/ Accused to move for bail the Trial Court/ Sessons Court.
- I. BECAUSE this Hon'ble Court has been pleased to grant Bail to the co-Accused, Rajender Singh vide its Order dated 31.05.2017.
- J. BECAUSE had the Ld. the Court of Sh. Pulatsya Paramachala, ASJ, heard the Counsel for the Petitioner on the merit of the Case, a very vital factum of passing of order by this Hon'ble Court on the Bail Application of the Co-Accused could also

have been pleaded. Needless to say, the Order of this Hon'ble Court could have impacted the very root of the whole matter before the Ld. ASJ.

- K. BECAUSE the matter before the trial Court (Chief Metropolitan Magistrate (CMM) is at the stage of scrutiny of documents and the same is being listed for 09.06.2017 for the said purpose. The matter is likely to take its own time before it was actually committed for trial to the Court of Additional Sessions Judge (ASJ).
- L. BECAUSE the investigation in this case is complete, as the Charge Sheet has already been filed in this matter.
- M. BECAUSE the Petitioner/ Accused is no longer required in this Case, as no police custody (PC) was sought of the Petitioner/ Accused by the Police in this case.
- N. BECAUSE there is no question of tampering with the evidence in this case, as the witnesses and the victims in this case are the Police personnel themselves.

- O. BECAUSE the Applicant/ Accused is a permanent resident of Delhi. He has his family residing permanently in Delhi and has deep roots in the society. There is no question him fleeing from justice or absconding by any stretch of the imagination.
- P. BECAUSE the antecedents of the Petitioner are clean. He belongs to a respectable family. Prior to this, he has never been convicted in any Case.
- Q. BECAUSE on the top of it all, the Applicant/ Accused is the sole bread earner of his family and earns his living on daily basis by driving an auto. His whole family of the Petitioner/Accused will come on the road if his incarceration prolongs, he being the sole bread earner of his family.
- R. BECAUSE the Trial of the case would take a long time and keeping the Petitioner/Accused in Judicial Custody (JC) would not serve any fruitful purpose.
- 24. The petitioner craves leave to add, alter, amend, modify, delete and/or substitute any of the contents/ grounds of this Petition, as and when required.

- 25. That this Petition is maintainable, as this Hon'ble Court solely commands the jurisdiction to adjudicate and decide on the subject matter of this Petition.
- 26. That there is no efficacious and alternative remedy available to the Petitioner/ Accused, except for the filing of this Petition, in the given facts and circumstances of this case.
- 27. That this Petition is within the period of limitation, as provided under the statute. There has been no delay in filing the same.
- 28. That all the documents accompanying this Petiton forms part and parcel of the Trial Court Record.
- 29. That no Petition of this nature is pending before this Hon'ble Court or any other Court including the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India.

#### **PRAYER**

It is therefore most respectfully prayed that this Hon'ble Court may be pleased to;

Enlarge the Petitioner on bail, in the Case arising out of the FIR No: 0040 of 2015 (Dated: 14/01/2015), PS: Kalyanpuri, U/S: 186/308/332/353/34 OF IPC, District-East Delhi,

currently pending in the Court of Shri N. K. Laka, CMM,

Karkardooma Court, New Delhi, subject to whatever

conditions which this Hon'ble Court deemed fit in the facts

and circumstances of this Case.

b. Pass any other and / or further order(s) in favour of the

Petitioner, which this Hon'ble Court may deem fit and

proper in the interest of Justice and fair play.

**Petitioner** 

[In Judicial Custody] [Through Perokar]

Through

Sushil Kr. Sharma & Shahar Alam Beg, Advocates, (Counsel For The Petitioner) 877, Lawyers' Chambers, Saket Courts, New Delhi- 110017

E-mail-hellocounsel@gmail.com

Mob. No.:-9818165785

#### THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI

Bail	Appl	ication	No.	 of 2	2017

#### **IN THE MATTER OF:**

Jaswant Singh ......Petitioner

VERSUS

The State ..... Respondent

#### **AFFIDAVIT**

AFFIDAVIT OF MR. SACHIN, S/O SHRI JASWANT SINGH, AGED NEARLY \_\_\_\_\_ YEARS, HOUSE NO. 20/80, KALYANPURI, DELHI-110091.

- I, the Deponent, do hereby solemnly affirm and declare as under:-
- 1. That I am the Son and a Perokar of the Petitioner/ Accused in the above noted/ accompanying Bail Petition U/S- 439, R/W S-482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973, and therefore am competent to file this Affidavit in support of the Petition.
- 2. That the accompanying Petition has been drafted by my counsel as per joint instructions of mine and my father and has been read over to me in a vernacular language. I have fully understood the contents of the Petition. I also found the contents of this Petition to be true and correct.

## **VERIFICATION:-**

Verified at Delhi on **05**<sup>th</sup> **day of June, 2017** that the contents of the above noted affidavit are true and correct to my knowledge and belief, based on what has been told about the case by my father to me, and nothing material has been concealed there from.

**DEPONENT** 

#### THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI

Bail Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 2017

IN THE MATTER OF:

Jaswant Singh ......Petitioner

VERSUS

The State .....Respondent

APPLICATION UNDER SECTION-482 OF THE CODE OF CRIMINAL PROCEDURE, 1973, SEEKING EXEMPTION FROM FILING CERTIFIED COPY OF THE DOCUMENTS/ANNEXURES.

#### MOST RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED AS UNDER:

- 1. That the Petitioner has filed along with the Captioned Petition uncertified copy of the documents.
- That the Petitioner could not file the certified copy of the Documents/ Annexures, annexed with the Petition due to paucity of time.
- 3. That the Petitioner undertakes to file the certified copy on the record of this Hon'ble court, in the event of any opposition from the opposite party(ies) qua their contents and/ or as and when directed by this Hon'ble Court to do so.

4. That the Petitioner therefore humbly seek to be exempted

from filing the certified copy of the impugned Documents/

Annexures.

**PRAYER** 

It is therefore most respectfully prayed that this Hon'ble Court

may be pleased to:-

a. Exempt the Petitioner from filing certified copy of the

Impugned documents/ annexures.

b. Pass any other and / or further order(s) in the favour of the

Petitioner, which this Hon'ble Court may deem fit and

proper in the interest of Justice and fair play.

**Petitioner** 

Through

Sushil Kr. Sharma & Shahar Alam Beg, Advocates,

(Counsel For The Petitioner) 877, Lawyers' Chambers, Saket Courts, New Delhi- 110017 <u>E-mail-hellocounsel@gmail.com</u>

Mob. No.:-9818165785

#### THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI

Bail Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 2017

#### **IN THE MATTER OF:**

**Jaswant Singh** ......Petitioner

VERSUS

The State ..... Respondent

#### **AFFIDAVIT**

AFFIDAVIT OF MR. SACHIN, S/O SHRI JASWANT SINGH, AGED NEARLY \_\_\_\_\_ YEARS, HOUSE NO. 20/80, KALYANPURI, DELHI-110091.

- I, the Deponent, do hereby solemnly affirm and declare as under:-
- That I am the Son and a Perokar of the Petitioner/ Accused in the above noted/ accompanying Bail Petition U/S- 439, R/W S-482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973, and therefore am competent to file this Affidavit in support of the Petition.
- 2. That the accompanying Application, seeking exemption from filing certified copy of the impugned documents/ annexures has been drafted by my counsel as per joint instructions of mine and my father and has been read over to me in a vernacular language. I have fully understood the contents of the Petition. I also found the contents of this Petition to be true and correct.

## **VERIFICATION:-**

Verified at Delhi on **05**<sup>th</sup> **day of June, 2017** that the contents of the above noted affidavit are true and correct to my knowledge and belief, based on what has been told about the case by my father to me, and nothing material has been concealed there from.

**DEPONENT** 

Bail Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 2017

In The Matter Of:-

Jaswant Singh ......Petitioner

VERSUS

The State ..... Respondent

To, **The Registrar,**High Court of Delhi.
Sher Shah Suri Road,
New Delhi.

#### **Letter of Authorisation**

Sir,

I, the undersigned, hereby authorize my Clerk, Mukund Narayan Sharma, whose signatures are encircled below, to collect the copy of the Dasti Order in the captioned case.

Kindly oblise me by handing out the copy of the order to my Clerk.

**Petitioner** 

Through

Sushil Kr. Sharma & Shahar Alam Beg, Advocates,
(Counsel For The Petitioner)
877, Lawyers' Chambers,
Saket Courts, New Delhi- 110017
E-mail-hellocounsel@gmail.com

Mob. No.:-9818165785

## CODE OF CRIMINAL PROCEDURE, 1973 CHAPTER XXXVII: MISCELLANEOUS

**Section-482. Saving of inherent power of High Court.-**Nothing in this Code shall be deemed to limit or affect the inherent powers of the High Court to make such orders as may be necessary to give effect to any order under this Code, or to prevent abuse of the process of any Court or otherwise to secure the ends of justice.

oxdots
CHECK LIST OF THE WORK TO BE DONE

- Caveat Report
- Listing Performa
- Opening Sheet
- Sign- Each Page
- Ticket- Rs,20/-
- Annexures- Rs,75 Per Page.